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High-Content Screening Microscopy Identifies Novel Proteins With a Putative Role in Secretory Membrane Traffic

Vytaute Starkuviene,1,3 Urban Liebel,1,3 Jeremy C. Simpson,1 Holger Erfile,1 Annemarie Poustka,2 Stefan Wiemann,2 and Rainer Pepperkok1,4

1Cell Biology and Biophysics Programme, European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), 69117 Heidelberg, Germany; 2Molecular Genome Analysis, German Cancer Research Centre, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Here we describe the establishment of microscope-based functional screening assays in intact cells that allow us to systematically identify new proteins involved in secretory membrane traffic, and proteins that can influence the integrity of the Golgi complex. We were able to identify 20 new proteins that affected either secretory transport, Golgi morphology, or both, when overexpressed in cells. Control experiments with human orthologs to yeast proteins with a role in membrane traffic, or already well characterized mammalian regulators of the secretory pathway, confirmed the specificity and significance of our results. Proteins localized to the Golgi complex or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) showed preferential interference in our assays. Bioinformatic analysis of the new proteins interfering with membrane traffic and/or Golgi integrity revealed broad functional variety, but demonstrated a bias towards proteins with predicted coiled-coil domains and repeat structures. Extending our approach to a much larger set of novel proteins in the future will be an important step toward a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular basis of the secretory pathway. It will also serve as an example for similar microscope-based screens addressing different biological questions.

[Supplemental material is available online at www.genome.org.]

Automated DNA sequencing of the genomes from various species has now generated an enormous wealth of data that represents the basis for a comprehensive understanding of the molecular organization and function of living cells or organisms. The next essential steps toward this challenging goal will be to use these sequence data to deduce the respective gene coding regions, their regulatory elements, and ultimately the function of the encoded proteins in a cellular context. However, taking into account the 30,000 genes predicted in the human genome (Venter et al. 2001) and additionally the plethora of proteins resulting from alternative splicing and posttranslation modifications, it becomes evident that the development and availability of parallel analysis methods is critical to ensure an efficient functional dissection of the human proteome.

In recent years, genetic and biochemical approaches have been applied to identify and characterize single molecular components of the secretory pathway, a process that is central to cell organization. Secretory membrane traffic enables cells to distribute newly synthesized proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates, and thus ensures cell growth, homeostasis, and differentiation. More recently, systematic approaches such as organelle proteomics and yeast two-hybrid screening have attempted to identify new components of the pathway with the goal of reaching a more complete description of the molecular regulation of membrane traffic (Bell et al. 2001; Calero et al. 2002; Monier et al. 2002). However, despite their potential these techniques have limitations, and it is therefore essential to develop and apply alternative systematic approaches that further the goal of identifying all the molecules participating in membrane traffic regulation and biogenesis of associated organelles.

Here we describe the development and application of a screening strategy in intact cells that aims at the targeted identification of new proteins regulating membrane traffic. To this end we have exploited a collection of novel human full-length cDNAs (Wiemann et al. 2001), the open reading frames (ORFs) of which have been fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP)-variants at their N- and C-termini (Simpson et al. 2000). These ORF-GFP fusions have been initially characterized according to the subcellular localization of the respective proteins in mammalian cells (Simpson et al. 2001). Further functional information about these proteins is obtained by overexpression of the GFP-tagged ORFs in living cells, followed by subsequent monitoring of their interference with secretory membrane transport and the integrity of the Golgi complex. Although only a limited number of proteins were investigated in this pilot screen, we have been able to identify 20 new proteins with a putative role in membrane traffic and/or Golgi integrity. Extending our approach to large sets of proteins in the future will be an essential contribution to a more comprehensive understanding of the secretory pathway at the molecular level.

RESULTS

Application of the Transport Assay

We recently described the development and characterization of a fully automated high-content screening (HCS) platform (Liebel et al. 2003) which is capable of automatically acquiring and analyzing high-resolution multicolor images of immunostained cells. Using this system we developed a quantitative single-cell-based transport assay (Liebel et al. 2003; see also Methods), which we applied here to reveal interference of ectopically expressed GFP-tagged proteins with membrane traffic in the secretory path-
way. This assay is based upon the monitoring of the transport of a well characterized cargo molecule, namely the ts-O45-G temperature-sensitive variant of the vesicular stomatitis viral G-protein VSV-G (Zilberstein et al. 1980). This transmembrane protein has the feature of accumulating in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) at 39.5°C, but moves vectorially through the secretory pathway to the plasma membrane (PM) at the permissive temperature of 32°C, where an antibody recognizing an external epitope can detect it. This has the advantages that transport in individual cells is highly synchronized, and that background PM staining that may be caused by transport of the marker before and during expression of the GFP-tagged proteins under examination does not occur.

In control experiments, with cells expressing soluble cyano fluorescent protein (CFP) or yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), ts-O45-G transport to the PM occurred with an efficiency similar to that observed in neighboring nontransfected cells (Fig. 1A–C; data not shown). In contrast, when a GTP-restricted dominant negative mutant of the Sar1p GTPase (SAR1p[H79Q]; Aridor et al. 1995) was expressed as a CFP- or YFP-tagged variant, the amount of ts-O45-G transported to the PM was drastically decreased (data not shown; Liebel et al. 2003). This is consistent with data from the literature showing that the Sar1p GTPase plays an essential role in ER exit (Aridor et al. 1995; Rowe et al. 1996; Pepperkok et al. 1998) and further demonstrates the capacity of the transport assay to reveal proteins interfering with secretory membrane traffic when overexpressed.

We next selected 100 GFP-tagged novel ORFs to be included in the transport assay. This selection was based upon their subcellular localization. Specifically, we chose proteins localizing to membranes of the secretory pathway, cytoskeletal structures, or the cytoplasm (Simpson et al. 2000; see also http://gfp-cdna.embl.de/). The assumption was that proteins showing these localizations would be the most likely to affect ts-O45-G transport. To compare the different experiments with different GFP-tagged proteins in a quantitative manner, the relative surface expression of ts-O45-G in transfected cells was normalized in each experiment to the average values obtained for nontransfected cells, giving an immediate indication of the effect of the expressed GFP-tagged proteins on ts-O45-G transport (see Table 1 and Methods for details). However, significant variations in different experiments due to varying average amounts of protein expressed were observed. To account for this problem and to make the data evaluation more robust, the population of transfected cells was divided into three expression classes (low, medium, and high), and the average normalized surface expression of ts-O45-G for each class was determined. Then a linear regression was fitted to the values obtained for these three classes and that of nontransfected cells to account for the changes in surface expression with growing amounts of expressed GFP-tagged protein (see Methods for details). In this way, variations in the average expression of the same protein in different experiments were less critical. Overexpression of soluble YFP and CFP resulted in average slope values of $-0.0015 \pm 0.0009$ and $-0.0002 \pm 0.0002$ (see Fig. 2A), respectively (averaging nine independent experiments each). Only those GFP-tagged proteins showing slopes of the fits that deviated from the values obtained for YFP or CFP more than the respective standard deviation of the population ($\pm 0.0026$ and $\pm 0.0055$ for YFP and CFP, respectively) were considered as displaying an effect on ts-O45-G transport (Fig. 3).

Figure 1 Examples of the transport assay. Cells were treated, immunostained, and imaged as described in Methods. Cells transfected with (A) YFP alone, (D) CFP- AF220052 encoding the putative ER SNARE Use1p which has been proposed to be involved in retrograde Golgi to ER transport (Dilcher et al. 2003), CFP-AL136711 encoding the putative vacuole membrane protein (VMP1) which has been shown to be expressed in the conditions of the acute pancreatitis and inducing the formation of intracellular vacuoles (Dusetti et al. 2002), and (J) CFP- AL136588, an uncharacterized transmembrane protein. (B,E,H,K) Cells expressing ts-O45-G-YFP. (C,F,I,L) Immunostainings of ts-O45-G at the PM. Asterisks indicate transfected cells, arrows point to cells with ts-O45-G accumulated in the Golgi complex. Bar = 20 µm.
Of the 100 ORFs screened, 24 could be classified as inhibitors and one as an accelerator of ts-O45-G transport (Fig. 3, Table 1, Supplemental Table 1). Eleven of these showed a strong transport inhibition that was already apparent by visual inspection of the transfected cells (Figs. 1G–L, 2C; Table 1). Their slopes were typically greater than half of the difference between the slopes obtained for GFP variants and the corresponding GFP-tagged Sar1p [H79G] (slopes for YFP- and CFP-tagged SAR1p [H79G] were $-0.0102 \pm 0.0012$ and $-0.0141 \pm 0.0034$, respectively). The 13 remaining transport inhibitors caused a weaker effect on ts-O45-G transport, which was less obvious to appreciate by visual inspection (Fig. 1D–F), but could be clearly quantified by automated image analysis (Fig. 2B, Supplemental Table 1). Seventy-five of the proteins analyzed were classified as not inhibiting ts-O45-G transport (Supplemental Table 2).

Wherever possible, both N- and C-terminal GFP fusions were screened (51 clones). For 38 clones, only the N-terminal fusions and for 11 clones only the C-terminal fusions were tested. Proteins were considered to be effectors regardless of whether one or both tagged versions of the protein were identified as effectors in our assays.

**Application of the Golgi Integrity Assay**

In order to have independent confirmation of our results on transport inhibition, we next extended our screens to determine the effect of the GFP-tagged proteins on the morphology of the Golgi complex. Being a central organelle in the secretory pathway, the Golgi complex is directly dependent on bidirectional flow of membranes and proteins into and out of it. It is thus reasonable to postulate that the overexpression of those proteins which act in transport steps linked to the Golgi complex also affects the morphology of this organelle. To investigate this, we made use of the automated assay that we developed to quantify morphological changes of the Golgi (Liebel et al. 2003).

Similar to the experiments addressing ts-O45-G transport, each GFP-tagged ORF was expressed for 24 h. As a Golgi marker, the matrix protein GM130 (Nakamura et al. 1995) localizing predominantly to the cis-side of the Golgi complex was used. This is a convenient marker protein for quantitative measurements, as it relocates from the juxtanuclear area into numerous distinct structures scattered throughout the cytoplasm when ER to Golgi transport is inhibited (Seemann et al. 2000; Miles et al. 2001). In total 60 GFP-tagged ORFs, including all of the ts-O45-G transport effectors, were tested for potential effects on Golgi morphology. The number of distinct GM130-positive structures and their average intensity were determined in transfected and nontransfected cells as described (Liebel et al. 2003). This revealed in total 25 proteins affecting Golgi morphology (Fig. 3, see examples in Fig. 4), with 15 of them being already identified as transport effectors. The remaining 10 proteins were affecting Golgi morphology only. For 35 of the proteins tested, as well as for soluble CFP or YFP, no effect on the Golgi complex, compared to nontransfected cells, could be observed.

For 15 of the Golgi effectors, the Golgi morphology was only weakly affected, with an increased number of Golgi fragments varying by a factor between 1.2 and 2.0 compared to nontransfected cells. No significant loss of the total Golgi fluorescence occurred in cells transfected with these fusion proteins. In three of the Golgi effectors, the number of scattered GM130-positive Golgi structures was increased by more than a factor of 2.0 compared to nontransfected cells, and hence they were defined as having a strong effect on Golgi morphology (see examples in Fig. 4C,F,I and Table 1). Interestingly, for seven of the effectors the total Golgi-specific fluorescence or the number of Golgi fragments was reduced (Table 1, Supplemental Table 1). This might be due to a possible relocation of Golgi matrix proteins into the ER under conditions of an ER export block, as was recently demonstrated to occur (Puri and Linstedt 2003).

**Relevance of Subcellular Localization and Targeting Signals**

Among the 100 proteins tested here, those localizing to the ER or the Golgi complex showed the most frequent interference with ts-O45-G transport and/or Golgi morphology compared to those localizing to the PM, the cytoplasm, or to the cytoskeleton (Fig. 5A).

It is well known that the position of the GFP tag has an effect on the subcellular localization of the fusion proteins (Simpson et al. 2001), and is therefore also likely to influence their cellular activities. Indeed, fusions with the GFP close to, for ex-
Analysis of Results According to Functional Predictions

For 56 of the proteins that were tested in these assays, it was possible to make functional predictions based on available literature data, the predicted domain structure, or similarities to already characterized yeast orthologs (Fig. S5B,C, Table 1, Supplemental Tables 1,2). Based on these criteria 14 of these proteins (AL136571, AL136727, AL136904, AL136617, AL713668, AL834226, AL713697, AL136594, AL136711, AF220052, AL136715, AL390215, AL834211, AL136559) are known or could be predicted to be involved in secretory membrane traffic, and eight of these (AL136571, AL136727, AL136904, AL136617, AL713668, AL834226, AL136711, AF220052) were indeed revealed as effectors in the transport or Golgi integrity assays. One of these (AL136571) is the human ortholog of the yeast Got1p protein, which has been described as being involved in ER to Golgi transport through concerted action with the syntaxin Sed5p (Conchon et al. 1999). A second example is an ortholog of the yeast unconventional ER SNARE Usel1 (AF220052; Dilcher et al. 2003), apparently involved in retrograde Golgi to ER transport. The third example of this group (AL713668) is an ER-localized transmembrane protein that belongs to the YIP family (Calero et al. 2002), members of which have been shown to interact with various Rab GTPases, thus regulating various steps in membrane traffic (Tang et al. 2001; Heidtman et al. 2003; Sivars et al. 2003).

The remaining six proteins known to be involved in membrane traffic (AL713697, AL136594, AL136715, AL390215, AL834211, AL136559) have not been described as being directly relevant to ts-O45-G transport or Golgi morphology. Thus they should be expected to have no effect in our assays, as confirmed by our results. Examples of these proteins are amphiphysin II and synaptotagmin III. The first is involved in the clathrin-mediated endocytosis of synaptic vesicles (Zhang and Zelhof 2002), and the second participates in calcium-dependent regulated exocytosis (Ullrich et al. 1994).

Other effectors (transport or Golgi integrity assay) could be annotated as being involved in events such as signal transduction (e.g., the protein AL117466, which is a predicted LIM2 kinase) and protein metabolism (e.g., AL136807, homologous to RAMP4, which itself is involved in protein glycosylation during translocation). Specificity of our assays could be demonstrated by the finding that none of the proteins predicted to be involved in general cellular or nucleic acid metabolism (e.g., a predicted NADH ubiquinone oxidoreductase, AL080056, and an ortholog of the glucocorticoid-induced transcription activator AL110191) caused any interference with transport or Golgi morphology (Fig. S5B).

Analysis of Results According to Conserved Domains and Motifs With a Role in Membrane Traffic

For the remaining 44 proteins tested in our screens, no functional predictions were possible, although domains and motifs...
Table 1. The Clones With a Strong Effect in the Transport and Golgi Integrity Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No. and clone IDs</th>
<th>Relative surface expression of ts-O45-G&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Effect on Golgi integrity</th>
<th>Features&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Orthologs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminus&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C-terminus&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>C-terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretory pathway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL0800121</td>
<td>–0.0096 ± 0.0017&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–0.0116 ± 0.0073&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfb2_2308&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.551 ± 0.271</td>
<td>0.371 ± 0.152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL136711</td>
<td>–0.0100 ± 0.0027</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>htkd2_3i13</td>
<td>0.398 ± 0.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golgi complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL713668</td>
<td>–0.0122 ± 0.0009</td>
<td></td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hamy_2_19g19</td>
<td>0.377 ± 0.214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL136916</td>
<td>–0.0100 ± 0.00028</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Frag.: 2.12&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfb2_2c18</td>
<td>0.421 ± 0.154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCO09485</td>
<td>–0.0091 ± 0.0018</td>
<td>-0.0097 ± 0.0023</td>
<td>Frag.: 1.97</td>
<td>Frag.: 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGES3623656</td>
<td>0.710 ± 0.341</td>
<td>0.719 ± 0.318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL136588</td>
<td>–0.0095 ± 0.0019</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Int.: 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hamy_2_2d11</td>
<td>0.528 ± 0.0151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL136775</td>
<td>–0.0106 ± 0.0031</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Frag.: 1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>htes3_1_7710</td>
<td>0.337 ± 0.230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL0800119</td>
<td>–0.0109 ± 0.0023</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Int.: 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfb2_23m24</td>
<td>0.440 ± 0.186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL136618</td>
<td>–0.0098 ± 0.0023</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfb2_23m16</td>
<td>0.579 ± 0.253</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL0500156</td>
<td>–0.0097 ± 0.0024</td>
<td>-0.0056 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>Frag.: 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hute1_20n10</td>
<td>0.603 ± 0.221</td>
<td>0.747 ± 0.156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoskeleton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL13660418</td>
<td>–0.0089 ± 0.0022</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Frag.: 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfb2_22i21</td>
<td>0.642 ± 0.0340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKFZp564F2122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Upper numbers are the slope b, those below are the ratio of relative surface expression in transfected to nontransfected cells. For more details see the Results and Methods sections.<br><sup>b</sup>All listed clones, except AL713668, which is predicted to be involved in secretory membrane transport, are novel and do not contain predictable signal peptides.<br><sup>c</sup>All clones exist in two variants: CFP fused to the N-terminus and YFP fused to the C-terminus.<br><sup>d</sup(Clone IDs used by http://gfp-cdna.embl.de/ (upper line) and http://www.dkfz-heidelberg.de/LIFEdb (lower line) databases, respectively.)<br><sup>e</sup>Average value and fstandard error value derived from independent experiments. For more details see Methods.<br><sup>g</sup','% of similarity on amino acid level derived by using “BLAST 2 sequences” program.<br><sup>h</sup>Not determined.<br><sup>i</sup>Change of average intensity or number of Golgi fragments. For more details see Methods.<br><sup>j</sup>Name of yeast ORF as assigned by SGD Yeast Database.
consistently with a role in membrane traffic could be identified in their sequences. Six of such proteins (AL136567, AL136916, AL136658, AL136927, AL136610, AL136617) carry the ER retrieval motifs “KKxx” or “xxRR,” which are known to interact with components of the vesicular coat complexes, COPI and COPII (Cosson and Letourneur 1994; Dominguez et al. 1998; Yuan et al. 2003). They are all localized to the ER and/or the Golgi complex, which suggests that the respective ER retrieval motifs “KKxx” or “xxRR,” which are known to interact with components of the vesicular coat complexes, COPI and COPII (Cosson and Letourneur 1994; Dominguez et al. 1998; Yuan et al. 2003). They are all localized to the ER and/or the Golgi complex, which suggests that they are all involved in membrane traffic, cytoskeletal structures, or the cytoplasm. This preselection should result in an increased likelihood of identifying candidate proteins involved in the regulation of diverse steps in the secretory pathway. Indeed, of 100 proteins screened, 35 showed an effect on either Golgi morphology or ts-O45-G transport, or both (Fig. 3), with 20 being uncharacterized to date. Furthermore, analyzing the subcellular localizations of the effectors in our assays showed that those proteins associated with the ER or Golgi complex affected ts-O45-G transport or Golgi morphology more frequently than those proteins localizing to the PM or the cytoplasm (Fig. 5A). Eleven of the effectors scored as strong inhibitors, and we consider them most likely to be directly involved in membrane transport or Golgi morphology. For those classified as weak effectors, further independent experiments [such as involving gene knockdowns by RNA interference (RNAi)] might be necessary to obtain more definite evidence for a direct involvement in membrane transport or Golgi morphology. Several reasons exclude the possibility that transport inhibition and/or disturbance of Golgi morphology were unspecific under conditions of overexpression. First, some effectors in our assays are orthologs of well characterized yeast proteins and, consistent with the data available in yeast, those proteins interfered with transport or Golgi complex morphology. Second, in addition to the proteins predicted to act in membrane traffic, only those having been classified into the functional groups of “signal transduction” or “protein metabolism” perturbed transport or Golgi morphology. In contrast, proteins assigned to act on DNA or RNA, for example, had no effects in our experiments (Fig. 5B). Third, several proteins with a clear role in membrane traffic, but not involved in the transport steps analyzed by our assays (e.g., amphiphysin II and synaptotagmin III) also showed no effect. Finally, many of the proteins that could be classified as strong inhibitors, and we consider them most likely to be directly involved in membrane transport or Golgi morphology. For those classified as weak effectors, further independent experiments [such as involving gene knockdowns by RNA interference (RNAi)] might be necessary to obtain more definite evidence for a direct involvement in membrane transport or Golgi morphology. Several reasons exclude the possibility that transport inhibition and/or disturbance of Golgi morphology were unspecific under conditions of overexpression. First, some effectors in our assays are orthologs of well characterized yeast proteins and, consistent with the data available in yeast, those proteins interfered with transport or Golgi complex morphology. Second, in addition to the proteins predicted to act in membrane traffic, only those having been classified into the functional groups of “signal transduction” or “protein metabolism” perturbed transport or Golgi morphology. In contrast, proteins assigned to act on DNA or RNA, for example, had no effects in our experiments (Fig. 5B). Third, several proteins with a clear role in membrane traffic, but not involved in the transport steps analyzed by our assays (e.g., amphiphysin II and synaptotagmin III) also showed no effect. Finally, many of the proteins that scored as positives contained domains that are characteristic of proteins involved in the secretory pathway (e.g., “KKxx” or “xxRR” motifs in their cytoplasmic tails, see also Fig. 5C).
dition, one limitation of our overexpression approach could be complementary to our overexpression strategy used here. In addition, functional screens using cellular knockdowns by RNAi, which are microscopy techniques developed will also be powerful tools in novel proteins with a role in membrane traffic. The assays and be an important tool in future large-scale screens to identify more secretory membrane traffic and/or Golgi morphology. It will thus domains. Altogether, this demonstrates that the strategy pre-
did not appear to depend on the presence or number of TM
likelihood of inhibiting transport or affecting Golgi morphology also demonstrates the specificity of our assays. For example, the proteins affecting only Golgi morphology are most likely to be involved in the maintenance of the structure of this organelle. Interestingly, Golgi effectors of this kind frequently carried coiled-coil domains, consistent with the hypothesis that proteins with such domains play a role in Golgi structure (Gillingham and Munro 2003).

The Golgi matrix protein GM130 used in our studies as a Golgi marker is known to relocate into punctuate structures throughout the cytoplasm when transport between the ER and Golgi complex is impaired (Seemann et al. 2000; Miles et al. 2001). Consistent with this, the majority of the Golgi effectors (18 of 25) caused such scattering of GM130. However, overexpression of seven proteins induced reduction of the Golgi fluorescence and/or number of Golgi fragments. Recent work showed that under the conditions of direct ER exit block, GM130 is relocated to the ER (Puri and Linstedt 2003). Therefore, it is possible that these seven proteins could play a role in the regulation of ER exit. Consistent with this hypothesis, two of them (AL136367 and AL136610) contain ER retrieval signals and could be molecules cycling between ER and the Golgi. Another (AL173668) is a close homolog of Yip1p, a protein participating in the formation of COPII vesicles (Heidtman et al. 2003) at ER exit sites.

Recent data on the localization of almost the entire yeast genome (Kumar et al. 2002; Huh et al. 2003) predicts that about 10% (600) of all yeast proteins localize to the ER or Golgi complex. Based on data provided by the Gene Ontology Consortium (see Methods), about 20% of these ER- and Golgi-localized proteins have to date been assigned a function in the early secretory pathway. In contrast to this, 30% and 56% of the ER- or Golgi-localized human proteins screened here have an effect on ts-O45-G transport (Fig. 3). Overexpression of seven proteins induced reduction of the Golgi morphology, such as post-TGN (trans-Golgi network) traffic. In contrast, those proteins affecting only Golgi morphology are most likely to be involved in the maintenance of the structure of this organelle. Interestingly, Golgi effectors of this kind frequently carried coiled-coil domains, consistent with the hypothesis that proteins with such domains play a role in Golgi structure (Gillingham and Munro 2003).

In summary, our approach described here is able to effi-
ciently identify new proteins with a role in membrane transport and Golgi morphology. In combination with organelle proteomics (Bell et al. 2001) and large-scale bioinformatic predictions (Clark et al. 2003), this will ultimately lead to a more comprehensive understanding of the secretory pathway at the molecular level.

METHODS

Materials

GFP-tagged ORFs were generated and prepared as described (Simpson et al. 2000). Recombinant adenoviruses encoding the secretory marker protein ts-O45-G tagged with either CFP or YFP were as described (Keller et al. 2001). The mouse monoclonal anti-ts-O45-G antibody "VG" was a gift from Kai Simons (MPI-CBG).

Transport and Golgi Integrity Assays

HeLa cells ATCC (CCL-2) were plated at a density of 10,000 cells/well in LabTek eight-well chamber slides (Nalge Nunc) containing 300 µL medium/well. For each well, 0.6 µL plasmid DNA at a concentration of 500 ng/µL was added to the transfection mix consisting of 0.9 µL FuGENE6 (Roche) and 29 µL OPTIMEM 1 (Gibco/Invitrogen). After 6 h, transfected cells were overlaid with recombinant adenoviruses encoding the secretory marker protein ts-O45-G tagged with CFP or YFP to complement the color of the transfected CFP- or YFP-tagged protein. After a 45-min incubation, the cells were washed with culture medium and ts-O45-G was accumulated in the ER by incubating the cells at 39.5°C for 16 h. Thereafter, cells were shifted to 32°C in the presence of 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (Calbiochem) to prevent further protein translation, and negative values represent transport inhibition with respect to nontransfected cells (upper values in Table 1).

To measure the integrity of the Golgi complex, HeLa cells were transfected for 24 h with the appropriate plasmids. Thereafter they were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and the Golgi complex was stained with mouse monoclonal anti-GM130 antibody (BD Bioscience) followed by CyS conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies. Cell nuclei were labeled with Hoechst 33342 stain (Sigma) diluted in PBS to a final concentration of 0.1 µg/ml.

To measure the integrity of the Golgi complex, HeLa cells were transfected for 24 h with the appropriate plasmids. Thereafter they were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and the Golgi complex was stained with mouse monoclonal anti-GM130 antibody (BD Bioscience) followed by CyS conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies. Cell nuclei were labeled with Hoechst stain as described above.

Multicolor images of stained cells were acquired using the microscope-based screening platform described (Liebel et al. 2003).

Quantification of the Transport Assay

For each experiment at least 500 cells were imaged, and fluorescence was quantified as described (Liebel et al. 2003). Briefly: The position and shape of cell nuclei were deduced from the Hoechst staining by thresholding. Thereafter, the area of the nuclei was dilated digitally. The fluorescence relating to the transfected GFP-tagged proteins or ts-O45-G at the plasma membrane were measured in this extended area. The fluorescence of the CFP- or YFP-ts-O45-G-specific fluorescence was measured in the ring-like structure of this extended area outside the nucleus (see Liebel et al. 2003 for details). Comparison of the results obtained with this automated data analysis and analyses involving the manual selection of the entire cell area as region of interest for the measurements showed that the results did not deviate more than the standard deviation of the mean obtained by automated data analysis.

To each individual cell were then assigned two parameters: the relative amount of the GFP-fusion protein expressed, and the relative surface expression of ts-O45-G defined as the ratio of ts-O45-G-specific plasma membrane fluorescence (“VG” antibody staining) and the CFP- or YFP-ts-O45-G-specific fluorescence. Measurement for all cells in a single experiment were summarized in a scatter plot with the x-axis relating to the amount of expressed GFP-tagged protein (normalized to the maximum fluorescence obtained in the particular experiment and expressed in percentage; see for example Fig. 2). Cells with a GFP fluorescence <10% were scored as nontransfected cells, and their average relative surface expression was determined. All values obtained for the relative surface expression in one experiment were then normalized to the value obtained for the relative surface expression in nontransfected cells (set as “1”; see Fig. 2).

Transfected cells (>10% of GFP fluorescence) were further divided into three expression classes representing low (10%–40%), medium (40%–70%), and high (70%–100%) expressing cells according to their fluorescence relating to the amount of transfected GFP-fusion protein. For each expression class, the average value of the relative surface expression was calculated. This allowed us to deduce from these values directly inhibitory (<1) or stimulatory (>1) effects of the expressed GFP-tagged protein on ts-O45-G transport compared to nontransfected cells. Also, this way of scoring the results allows discrimination of strong perturbing proteins, already showing an inhibition in the “low” expression class compared to weak inhibitors showing an inhibitory effect preferentially in the “high” expression class (lower values in Table 1).

The resulting values obtained for the average relative surface expression for the four expression classes were also fitted by the least square method to a linear function of the kind: \( y = a + bx \). The progression of the effect of each GFP-tagged protein on ts-O45-G transport with increasing amounts of the expressed protein is thus characterized as the steepness of the slope (expressed by “b”). Positive “b”-values represent transport acceleration, and negative values represent transport inhibition with respect to nontransfected cells (upper values in Table 1).

At least two experiments were performed for each ORF tested, resulting in at least 200 transfected cells scored. For transport effectors, the experiments were repeated three or four times, and the respective standard errors of the means were calculated. If available, both N- and C-terminal GFP fusions of every ORF product were tested and processed separately.

Quantification of the Golgi Integrity Assay

On average, 100 cells were scored with ~30 of them being transfected with the respective plasmid. Only cells with normal interphase morphology were taken for analysis. The population of imaged cells was divided into two groups; transfected (>10% of maximum GFP fluorescence obtained, see paragraph above) and nontransfected (<10% of maximum GFP fluorescence obtained) cells, and an average number of Golgi fragments and intensity of each Golgi fragment within each group were calculated as described (Liebel et al. 2003). The effect of GFP-tagged ORF overexpression was expressed as a normalized ratio of transfected and nontransfected cells for each of the two parameters measured. Identified changes of Golgi morphology were confirmed by visual inspection. When no changes in Golgi morphology were detected visually, the pooled standard error of the differences between the means for transfected and nontransfected cells was calculated (\( s_p = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} \); see Hassard 1991; where \( s_p \) is the pooled standard deviation, \( n_1 \) the number of transfected cells and \( n_2 \) the number of nontransfected cells). Only those ORFs for which the difference between the mean values for transfected and nontransfected cells was greater than two times the pooled standard error were considered significant Golgi effectors.

Databases and Analyses

Localization data were taken from http://gcdn.cdn.embl.de/, and bioinformatic data of the clones were collected by Harvester (Liebel et al. 2004; http://harvester.embl.de/) and http://www.dbj-heidelberg.de/LIFEdb. Assignment of the putative function of the proteins was based on the comparison of the output of SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/), SCOPE (http://scop.berkeley.edu/), CDFD (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), and Pfam (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Pfam/) databases. Information about orthologs in other model species was taken
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